

Reviewing a Disclosure

University of Central Florida's

Conflict of Interest & Commitment System



Training Session Topics

This Training Session will cover:

Reviewing a Disclosure

- Locating the disclosure to review
- Reviewing training materials provided
- Workflow, conducting a review, and reviewer options
- Review process rules
- Submission and final review status
- How to ensure disclosures under your unit have been submitted

Training Sessions available separately:

- Introduction
- Guidance for Responding/Reviewing Disclosure Questions
- Submitting an Annual Disclosure
- Editing an Annual Disclosure or Amendment
- Submitting an Amendment
- Definitions



Locating the Disclosure to Review

- Locating the Disclosure to Review 2 ways:
 - 1. When it is time to conduct your review, <u>an email will be sent</u> with a direct link to the review form. Sign On when prompted.
 - You may also directly access the COI System and Sign On. The left menu will adjust for you to access the Under Review/Requires Attention section. The Conflict of Interest & Commitment System website is located at <u>https://argis.research.ucf.edu/coi</u>

Admin	<u>Home</u>	🔒 <u>Sign Off</u>		Edit My Profile
Past Submissions	Welcom	e to the Confli	ct of 1	Interest & Comn
Submission Forms 🕨				
Under Review 🕨 <u>F</u>	tequires Attention			& Commitment S
Useful Links	nbound Dutbound	40		Ethics, and Risk purpose of the sys lisclosure statemer
University Compliance, Ethics, and Risk Office	commitment. Additional information pe each respective office's website. To lea of Interest & Commitment System, ple		ormation pertainin bsite. To learn mo System, please re	
Office of Research & Commercialization	or refer to the <u>definitions</u> of terms used thr questions please contact Potential Conflict Ad			

Under Review Menu Options:

- Requires Attention submissions awaiting your review RIGHT NOW
- Inbound submissions headed your way for review
- Outbound submissions you reviewed that moved forward to the next reviewer



Signing On

Sign On

- All employees have an account.
- **Use** your UCF credentials when prompted to Sign On.



https://argis.research.ucf.edu/coi

Enter your UCF Credentials





Under Review Menu Selection...

After making a selection from the "Under Review" menu:



- Select the Potential Conflict Reporting tab
- The reviewers involved will be displayed, along with dates and comments if the form was sent back and forth
- Select the date of submission to conduct your review



Review Training Materials Provided

Prior to accessing the review pages, the following training modules are provided:

- Introduction
- Guidance for Responding/Reviewing
- Submitting a Disclosure
- Editing an Annual Disclosure or Amendment
- Reviewing a Disclosure
- Submitting an Amendment
- Definitions
- Acknowledge you have read and understand the training materials:
 - Select the thumbnail provided to view a module
 - <u>Check the box next to the text</u> <u>at the bottom</u> of the page, <u>then press "Submit"</u>





Workflow: Based on Submitter, Reports To, and Home Department

Review Process

- First reviewer is the supervisor the employee directly reports to as defined within UCF PeopleSoft HR records, <u>unless</u> this value is blank, then the Chair/Director of the home department will be the first reviewer instead.
 - The first reviewer will be the ONLY reviewer on behalf of the college/unit. Supervisors are encouraged to consult with other decision makers within the college or unit prior to entering their final decision in ARGIS.
 - Unit Chairs/Deans have access to view all departmental disclosures.
- If <u>ALL</u> questions are answered No, after the first reviewer selects "Reviewed, No Conflicts", the workflow <u>stops</u>. Status of the submission is set to Reviewed, No Conflicts.
- If Questions 1, 2, or 3 are answered yes and/or question 9, then the UCF Office of Research Compliance is included for a regulatory review for how the outside activity may affect sponsored research activities. In some cases Questions 10, 11, and 12 will be reviewed by Office of Research Compliance if responses indicate sponsored research activities.
- The last review ends with the University Compliance, Ethics, and Risk.



SUCF

Conducting a Review

Conducting a review: Each response to each question must be reviewed.

- Select 1 Review Option: No Conflict Conflict Identified, Monitoring Plan Required Unapproved Conflict
- Enter required comment which is viewable to <u>all</u> reviewers <u>and</u> the discloser.
- Familiarize yourself with the definitions of what constitutes a conflict of interest and commitment (see next slide)
 - Can use Info icon next to each question to locate policies and examples.
 - Definitions are also provided for each underlined word in the question.
 - Note: Entity name must be provided by discloser (various, unknown, etc. are not acceptable answers)





What is a Conflict?

A conflict of interest is:

- Any conflict between private interests of the employee and the public interests of the university, the Board of Governors, or the State of Florida, including conflicts of interest specified under Florida Statutes; or
- Any activity which interferes with the full performance of the employee's professional or institutional responsibilities or obligations.

A conflict of commitment is:

- Outside activities that involve frequent or prolonged absences from the university on non-university business; or
- Outside activities that engage a substantial portion of the time an employee is expected to spend on assigned duties or university-related activities.
- No employee shall corruptly use or attempt to use his or her official position or any property or resource which may be within his or her trust, or perform his or her official duties, to secure a special privilege, benefit, or exemption for himself, herself, or others.



Conducting a Review with a Monitoring Plan

In general, all reviewers have the same options as the first reviewer with exception of the monitoring plan(and annual update, see slide 11):

- If a monitoring plan is requested, the form returns to the employee to upload a plan and then the form goes through the review workflow again. The review options change to ask if the monitoring plan is approved:
 - Yes (form moves to next reviewer)
 - No (form is returned to employee with comments, then review starts over)
 - **Unnecessary** (Plan is retained for historical reference. Reviewer must select No Conflict or Unapproved Conflict as the reason the plan was unnecessary.)





Conducting a Review with a Monitoring Plan

Monitoring Plans

There are two types of Monitoring Plans

Reviewers must notify the employee to use the appropriate form based on the nature of the conflict

1. Sponsored Programs/Research

The Monitoring Plan for Potential Conflicts of Interest (MP-1) form is found on the Office of Research Compliance website http://www.coi.ucf.edu/forms.html

Note: Request for Exemption forms are also found on this site

2. Non-Sponsored Programs/Non-Research

The Conflict of Interest or Commitment Monitoring Plan (non-sponsored programs or research) form is found on the University Compliance, Ethics, and Risk website.

http://compliance.ucf.edu/conflict-of-interest/

UCF	Conflict of Interest Monitoring Plan
	(Sponsored Programs)

(the Entity).	potential conflicts of intere	est arising from an emplo	yee's outside activity with the ou
Employee Name:			
University Position:			
% Full Time Equivalent (FTE)			
Faculty Appointment Type	N/A 9 month	12 month	Tenured
Department and College/ Research Unit:			
E-Mail:			
Entity Name:			
Date			

SECTION 1: Reason for Monitoring (Select the option that applies)

I disclosed a financial interest and/or outside activity that requires an exemption pursuant to Section 112.313(12)(h Florida Statutes. The Conflict of Interest Exemption Form is attached. This Monitoring Plan is effective the same p pproved for the corresponding Exemption

I disclosed a financial interest and/or outside activity that does not require an exemption from Florida Statutes, but will nly be permitted with an approved Monitoring Plan. This Monitoring Plan is effective upon execution of all parties and will remain in effect until circumstances are documented that dictate otherwise



This Mor

listed bel

Conflict of Interest or Commitment Monitoring Plan (Non-sponsored programs or research)

Is this a new monitoring plan or an update to an existing plan?			
Full Name:	EmplID:		
College/Area:	Department/Unit:		
Campus Address:	• •		
Campus Phone	Campus F-mail		

Current Position: 0 9 month 0 12 month



Review Options (located at bottom of review form)

REVIEW OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	PROCESS
Reviewed, No Conflicts	If all questions have been responded to with a "No" and your review is complete, choose the button labeled "Reviewed, No Conflicts". Review process then moves to the next reviewer.	ŧ
Submit	For any question responded to with a "Yes" , you must review each response provided and select the appropriate review option displayed with a comment that reflects the reason for the review decision. When your review is complete, choose the button labeled " Submit " at the bottom of the form.	Depends on review option selected…
Return to Employee, Additional Info Needed	If a disclosure requires edits, either at request of the employee or if the form has not been adequately completed, choose the button labeled " Return to Employee, Additional Info Needed ". When prompted, provide your comments. An email will be sent to the employee and the submission returned to allow edits.	÷
Request Employee Conference	To meet with the employee, choose the button labeled " Request Employee Conference ". When prompted, provide your comments and enter any other emails to be CC'd. Request will be logged in the disclosure's review history and an email will be sent on your behalf. Disclosure will remain in your review box.	
Request Reviewer Conference	To meet with other reviewers, choose the button labeled " Request Reviewer Conference ". When prompted, provide your comments and select from the drop down provided the specific reviewer or all reviewers and enter any other emails to be CC'd. Request will be logged in the disclosure's review history and an email will be sent on your behalf. Disclosure will remain in your review box.	
Recuse Myself as a Reviewer	If you cannot complete the review and need to withdraw yourself, choose the button labeled " Recuse Myself as a Reviewer ". An Administrator will be notified of your request and they will then move the disclosure from your review box.	



Conducting a Review with an Annual Update

Employee activities submitted in a previous year, with a monitoring ••• Select links to view the plan provision must be updated annually to indicate if the activity is continuing into the current reporting period or not. uploaded files. **Previously submitted** monitoring plans are The review <u>options change</u> to ask if the annual update is approved or to acknowledge the activity has <u>ended</u>. also available for review. ** sponses: **Review: R-1** If an activity continues, an * Name American University Review the 🔂 <u>Annual Update</u> (Original Filename: annual update is required. Course/Activity Name Computer Communication Networks coi_annual_update.pdf) Filesize: 5 KB Uploaded: Instructional Reviewers must assess the 10/11/2011 09:25:14 AM Instructional Method: Web-based [R] Approved: 🔘 Yes 🔘 No file uploaded by the Description: Network models, Media access protocols, Data link control [R] Comments: Routing and flow control. Internetworking. Current architectures 🖡 employee and approve the and protocols: OSI, ethernet, token, ring, FDDI, HSLC, X.25, update or not. etc. 3 Hours Per Week from 08/08/2011 - 08/07/2012 Time Commitment: Yes (form moves to next Indicate the total amount of reviewer) time committed to this On File: 🔂 Monitoring Plan (Original Filename activity: 1 1/2 hour lecture, twice per week. No (form returned to ٠ oi_monitoring_plan.pdf) Filesize: 12/21/2010 02:38 employee, review starts over) **R-2** If an activity ended, the date Review: ended will be presented along Date Activity Ended: 07/31/2011 Entity Name American University with comments by the Computer Architecture [R] 🔘 No Conflict Instructional Method: employee. Conflict Identified, Annual Update and/or Revision Instructional Method: Web-based Required No Conflict - activity has Description: Computer systems performance and evaluation, processor ended, no further monitoring datapath and control, microprogrammed architectures, [R] Comments: instruction and arithmetic pipelines, cache and virtual memory, is necessary. and RISCvs. CISC Time Commitment: 3 Hours Per Week from 08/08/2011 - 08/07/2012 Conflict Identified, Annual Indicate the total amount of Update and/or Revision time committed to this Required - activity does not ire, twice per week. On File: 🔂 Monitoring Plan (Original Filename: appear to be completely **Review options change** coi_monitoring_plan.pdf) Filesize: 140 KB Uploaded: ended, an annual update is 12/21/2010_02:38:10 AM when a review of a still needed. previously monitored Dr. John Smith 10/11/2011 09:25AM: I will not engage in this activity or activity is conducted. activity has ended Comments: This teaching engagement ended over the summer. Date Activity Ended: 07/31/2011



Review Options (located at bottom of review form)

REVIEW OPTIONS	DESCRIPTION	PROCESS
Submit	For questions responded to with a "Yes" , you must review each response to each question by selecting the appropriate review option displayed with a comment that reflects the reason for the review decision. (defined below). When you have completed the review, choose the button labeled "Submit" at the bottom of the form.	See below
Review option 1: choose this option	<u>"No Conflict"</u> If there is no conflict with the response provided to a question, Review process continues to the next reviewer.	ţ
 Review option 2: "Conflict Identified, Monitoring Plan Required" If there is a conflict with the response provided to a question that can be mitigated through a monitoring plan defining the boundaries of the activity, choose this option. The form is returned to the employee for a monitoring plan to be completed and uploaded. The submission is then returned to you for approval of the monitoring plan, the workflow starts over allowing all reviewers to review. The review options then change to focus on approval of the monitoring plan. Approve Plan options are: Yes, No, Unnecessary. If a monitoring plan is not approved (regardless who does not approve), the submission is returned to the employee for a new plan to be uploaded. If a monitoring plan is later identified as not being necessary, the choice of No Conflict or Unapproved Conflict appear. This now causes discrepancy with the previous reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer for raview. The monitoring plan is returned plan is not approved plan plan is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer and the form is returned to the provious reviewer for raview. The monitoring plan is chill rate plan for historical record. 		
Review option 3: <u>"Unapproved Conflict"</u> If there is a conflict with the response provided to a question that cannot be mitigated, choose "Unapproved Conflict". The review process will continue to the next reviewer. At the conclusion of all reviews the employee is sent a letter to sign stating (s)he has terminated the activity.		



Review Process Rules

- Reviewer has the option to return form to the employee and request additional information. Comments are required.
 - Use the "red" button at the bottom of the form to allow the employee to modify the answers they provided or to add new responses
 - Returning the form in this fashion DOES NOT permit edits to a monitoring plan OR to an annual update. You must conduct your review and use the reviewer option to disapprove the monitoring plan or annual update, then select the blue "Submit" button to allow the review process to return these documents back to the employee.
 - This helps ensure files are not swapped out without your knowledge and keeps the reviewer notes consistent with actions taken

If <u>ALL</u> questions are answered 'No'

 Reviewer can choose "Reviewed, No Conflicts" OR "Return to Employee, Additional Info Needed". The latter would likely be used when the reviewer is aware of items not included in the submission.

If <u>ALL</u> questions are answered No, <u>AND</u> 1st reviewer selects "Reviewed, No Conflicts"

- Workflow stops and the status of the form is set to "Reviewed, No Conflicts"
- No further reviews will be conducted

If <u>one or more</u> questions are answered "Yes"

• Reviewer must respond to <u>each question</u> and <u>each response</u>



Submission Status

The <u>FINAL</u> Administrative Reviewer's selection for <u>EACH</u> Question/Response determines the <u>overall</u> submission status and status for each response. For all questions answered yes, this decision falls to University Compliance, Ethics, and Risk.

Individual Question/Response Statuses

- Reviewed, No Conflict
- Reviewed, With Monitoring Plan
 - In the subsequent reporting period, a prompt for an annual update will be presented for activities with a monitoring plan provision. Based on response, status of the previous reporting period's submission will be updated to indicate if the activity should continue to be monitored or not:
 - If activity continues: while the current year's submission is under review, the previous year's submission will temporarily reflect *Reviewed, with Monitoring Plan Annual Update Under Review.* When review is complete, previous year's response status is set to **Reviewed with Monitoring Plan Annual Update On File**. New reporting period's response status is **Reviewed, with Annual Update**
 - If activity ended: while current year's submission is under review, the previous year's submission will temporarily reflect *Reviewed with Monitoring Plan Activity Indicated as Ended is Under Review*. When the review is complete, the previous year's response status is set to Reviewed, Monitoring Plan No Longer Necessary. New reporting period's response status is Reviewed, Annual Update No Longer Necessary
- Reviewed, Unapproved Conflict, Requires Resolution
 - Upon upload of memo of conflict ending, changes to **Reviewed, Conflict Removed**
- The overall submission of the form receives a status. The overall submission status values are:
 - Under Review
 - Reviewed, No Conflicts (All questions were either answered no, or for each response submitted, No Conflict was selected)
 - Reviewed, With Conditions (At least one response to one question had a conflict)



How the review options equate to final response status

Reviewer Options	Status for	
	EACH response to EACH Question	
No Conflict	Reviewed, No Conflict	
Conflict Identified,	Reviewed, With Monitoring Plan	
 Monitoring Plan Required Once a Monitoring Plan is associated to a response, reviewer options change to ask if the plan is approved: Yes (form moves to next reviewer) No (form returned to submitter, process starts over) Unnecessary (plan is removed, reviewer must then select either No Conflict or Unapproved Conflict 	 On 2nd year review, if activity <u>continues</u>, an annual update is required. While annual update is under review, previous year's response status temporarily changes to <i>Reviewed, with Monitoring Plan – Annual Update Under Review.</i> When review ends, status is set to: Reviewed, With Monitoring Plan – Annual Update On File* Current year's submission is set to Reviewed, with Annual Update On 2nd year review, if activity <u>ends</u>, date ended is required. While under review, previous year's response status temporarily changes to <i>Reviewed with Monitoring Plan – Activity Indicated as Ended is Under Review.</i> When review ends, status is set to Reviewed, Monitoring Plan No Longer Necessary* Current year's submission is set to Reviewed, Annual Update No Longer Necessary *This process helps identify activities that continue to require monitoring and those activities that ended where monitoring is no longer needed. 	
Unapproved Conflict	Reviewed, Unapproved Conflict Requires Resolution	
	 Faculty notified via email to cease activity. Meeting is requested and memo is attached with the reviewer comments. Upon upload of signed memo, status changes to Reviewed, Conflict Removed 	



How to ensure disclosures under your unit have been submitted

- Emails will be sent directly to everyone owing a report. Please ensure everyone is aware of the dates of submission each reporting period.
- Review the report available to COI Coordinators, Chairs, Deans, Directors, VPs, and other Administrative staff to help ensure all disclosures are submitted in a timely manner
 - Go to COI System (<u>https://argis.research.ucf.edu/coi</u>), Sign On, then select Compliance/ Potential Conflicts – By College in the left menu
 - Select your unit from the list.
 - Each person owing a disclosure will be listed with their submission status.

 Selecting the Under Review status 	link	
review process	Past Submissions	Welcome to the Conflict of
	Submission Forms)	
	Under Review)	The Conflict of Interest
	Reports 🕨	Potential Conflicts - By College
Smith, Dr. John	liseful Links	disclosure statements ce
Date Submitted: 09/08/2011 (ID:3539)		Under Review
Deviewers'		
Smith, Dr. John		
 Monitoring Plan and/or Annual Update Provided by Emp 	oloyee - 09/09/2011 08:46 AM	
Jayasuriya, Suhada		
 Review Conducted - Returned to Employee, Monitoring 	Plan and/or Annual Update Requested - 09/0	9/2011
08:45 AM		
 Review Conducted - 09/09/2011 08:50 AM 		
Chow, Dr. Louis		
Backman, Mr. Douglas	Green arrows indicate cur	rent reviewer
Cooney, Lucretia		



Need Help?

- Information on COI policies, regulations, and requirements are available on the following websites:
 - University Compliance, Ethics, and Risk <u>http://compliance.ucf.edu/conflict-of-interest</u>
 - Office of Research- Research Integrity Compliance <u>http://www.coi.ucf.edu</u>
- For assistance or questions contact the Potential Conflicts Administration email, <u>PCA@ucf.edu</u>